2009 State Public Defender Conference Evaluation

1. Session: Defending Due Process in Troubled Times Speaker: Tony Gallagher							
	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Response Count
Session: Relevance of topic	0.0% (0)	1.7% (1)	12.1% (7)	29.3% (17)	**48.3% (28)**	8.6% (5)	4.36	58
Session: Substantive Content	1.7% (1)	6.9% (4)	10.3% (6)	**50.0% (29)**	22.4% (13)	8.6% (5)	3.92	58
Session: Effectiveness of Presentation	0.0% (0)	1.8% (1)	7.0% (4)	29.8% (17)	**52.6% (30)**	8.8% (5)	4.46	57
Speaker: Knowledge of subject matter	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	5.2% (3)	29.3% (17)	**56.9% (33)**	8.6% (5)	4.57	58
Speaker: Clarity of presentation	0.0% (0)	1.7% (1)	3.4% (2)	27.6% (16)	**58.6% (34)**	8.6% (5)	4.57	58
						Comments		7
						answered question		**58**
						skipped question		**4**

2. Session: Rule 8, Montana Rules of Professional Conduct Speaker: David Patterson

	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Response Count
Session: Relevance of topic	1.8% (1)	5.3% (3)	29.8% (17)	**40.4% (23)**	14.0% (8)	8.8% (5)	3.65	57
Session: Substantive Content	1.8% (1)	17.5% (10)	**31.6% (18)**	29.8% (17)	10.5% (6)	8.8% (5)	3.33	57
Session: Effectiveness of Presentation	12.3% (7)	21.1% (12)	**24.6% (14)**	21.1% (12)	12.3% (7)	8.8% (5)	3.00	57
Speaker: Knowledge of subject matter	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	22.8% (13)	33.3% (19)	**35.1% (20)**	8.8% (5)	4.13	57
Speaker: Clarity of presentation	10.7% (6)	17.9% (10)	**28.6% (16)**	21.4% (12)	12.5% (7)	8.9% (5)	3.08	56
							Comment	7
							answered question	57
							skipped question	5

3. Session: Mental Assessments Speaker: Michael Butz, Ph.D.

	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Response Count
Session: Relevance of topic	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.1% (2)	**34.7% (17)**	**34.7% (17)**	26.5% (13)	4.42	49
Session: Substantive Content	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	32.7% (16)	**40.8% (20)**	26.5% (13)	4.56	49
Session: Effectiveness of Presentation	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	**36.7% (18)**	**36.7% (18)**	26.5% (13)	4.50	49
Speaker: Knowledge of subject matter	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	26.5% (13)	**46.9% (23)**	26.5% (13)	4.64	49
Speaker: Clarity of presentation	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.0% (1)	34.7% (17)	**36.7% (18)**	26.5% (13)	4.47	49
						Comments		8
						answered question		49
						skipped question		13

4. Session: Social Network Investigations Speaker: Jordan Kilby								
	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Response Count
Session: Relevance of topic	0.0% (0)	2.3% (1)	2.3% (1)	6.8% (3)	22.7% (10)	**65.9% (29)**	4.47	44
Session: Substantive Content	4.5% (2)	0.0% (0)	4.5% (2)	9.1% (4)	15.9% (7)	**65.9% (29)**	3.93	44
Session: Effectiveness of Presentation	4.5% (2)	2.3% (1)	2.3% (1)	11.4% (5)	13.6% (6)	**65.9% (29)**	3.80	44
Speaker: Knowledge of subject matter	2.3% (1)	4.5% (2)	2.3% (1)	4.5% (2)	20.5% (9)	**65.9% (29)**	4.07	44
Speaker: Clarity of presentation	4.5% (2)	4.5% (2)	2.3% (1)	4.5% (2)	18.2% (8)	**65.9% (29)**	3.80	44
						Comments		10
						answered question		**44**
						skipped question		**18**

5. Session: Montana Jury Issues Speaker: Chris Daly

	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Response Count
Session: Relevance of topic	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.4% (1)	4.8% (2)	38.1% (16)	**54.8% (23)**	4.79	42
Session: Substantive Content	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.3% (3)	9.8% (4)	29.3% (12)	**53.7% (22)**	4.47	41
Session: Effectiveness of Presentation	0.0% (0)	2.4% (1)	9.8% (4)	4.9% (2)	29.3% (12)	**53.7% (22)**	4.32	41
Speaker: Knowledge of subject matter	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.4% (1)	9.8% (4)	34.1% (14)	**53.7% (22)**	4.68	41
Speaker: Clarity of presentation	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.8% (4)	4.9% (2)	31.7% (13)	**53.7% (22)**	4.47	41
						Comments		7
						answered question		42
						skipped question		20

6. Session: Juvenile Competency Speaker: Christina Larsen

	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Response Count
Session: Relevance of topic	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	5.4% (2)	5.4% (2)	8.1% (3)	**81.1% (30)**	4.14	37
Session: Substantive Content	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	5.6% (2)	8.3% (3)	5.6% (2)	**80.6% (29)**	4.00	36
Session: Effectiveness of Presentation	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (3)	5.6% (2)	5.6% (2)	**80.6% (29)**	3.86	36
Speaker: Knowledge of subject matter	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	5.6% (2)	8.3% (3)	5.6% (2)	**80.6% (29)**	4.00	36
Speaker: Clarity of presentation	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (3)	8.3% (3)	2.8% (1)	**80.6% (29)**	3.71	36
							Comments	4
							answered question	37
							skipped question	25

7. Session: Removal Speaker: Brian Smith

	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Response Count
Session: Relevance of topic	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.5% (1)	10.0% (4)	15.0% (6)	**72.5% (29)**	4.45	40
Session: Substantive Content	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.7% (3)	10.3% (4)	10.3% (4)	**71.8% (28)**	4.09	39
Session: Effectiveness of Presentation	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.7% (3)	7.7% (3)	12.8% (5)	**71.8% (28)**	4.18	39
Speaker: Knowledge of subject matter	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.7% (3)	10.3% (4)	10.3% (4)	**71.8% (28)**	4.09	39
Speaker: Clarity of presentation	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.7% (3)	10.3% (4)	10.3% (4)	**71.8% (28)**	4.09	39
							Comments	5
							answered question	40
							skipped question	22

8. Session: Psychology of Interrogation Speaker: Solomon Fulero							
	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Response Count
Session: Relevance of topic	0.0% (0)	1.8% (1)	9.1% (5)	25.5% (14)	**60.0% (33)**	3.6% (2)	4.49	55
Session: Substantive Content	1.8% (1)	0.0% (0)	3.6% (2)	29.1% (16)	**61.8% (34)**	3.6% (2)	4.55	55
Session: Effectiveness of Presentation	0.0% (0)	1.8% (1)	7.3% (4)	23.6% (13)	**63.6% (35)**	3.6% (2)	4.55	55
Speaker: Knowledge of subject matter	1.9% (1)	0.0% (0)	3.7% (2)	16.7% (9)	**74.1% (40)**	3.7% (2)	4.67	54
Speaker: Clarity of presentation	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	11.1% (6)	18.5% (10)	**66.7% (36)**	3.7% (2)	4.58	54
							Comments	6
							answered question	55
							skipped question	7

9. Session: Cross Examining the Interrogating Officer Speaker: Deja Vishny								
	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Response Count
Session: Relevance of topic	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.6% (2)	29.1% (16)	**63.6% (35)**	3.6% (2)	4.62	55
Session: Substantive Content	1.8% (1)	0.0% (0)	1.8% (1)	30.9% (17)	**61.8% (34)**	3.6% (2)	4.57	55
Session: Effectiveness of Presentation	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.8% (1)	25.5% (14)	**69.1% (38)**	3.6% (2)	4.70	55
Speaker: Knowledge of subject matter	1.8% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	14.5% (8)	**80.0% (44)**	3.6% (2)	4.77	55
Speaker: Clarity of presentation	0.0% (0)	1.9% (1)	1.9% (1)	25.9% (14)	**66.7% (36)**	3.7% (2)	4.63	54
							Comments	2
							answered question	55
							skipped question	7

10. Session: "The Science of Eyewitness Testimony Speaker: Solomon Fulero

	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Response Count
Session: Relevance of topic	1.8% (1)	0.0% (0)	5.4% (3)	25.0% (14)	**64.3% (36)**	3.6% (2)	4.56	56
Session: Substantive Content	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (4)	30.4% (17)	**58.9% (33)**	3.6% (2)	4.54	56
Session: Effectiveness of Presentation	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	10.7% (6)	23.2% (13)	**62.5% (35)**	3.6% (2)	4.54	56
Speaker: Knowledge of subject matter	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.8% (1)	23.2% (13)	**71.4% (40)**	3.6% (2)	4.72	56
Speaker: Clarity of presentation	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	8.9% (5)	25.0% (14)	**62.5% (35)**	3.6% (2)	4.56	56
							Comments	3
							answered question	56
							skipped question	6

11. Session: Investigating Forged and Altered Documents Speaker: Joe Gaffney

	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Response Count
Session: Relevance of topic	0.0% (0)	2.4% (1)	7.3% (3)	12.2% (5)	9.8% (4)	**68.3% (28)**	3.92	41
Session: Substantive Content	0.0% (0)	4.9% (2)	4.9% (2)	12.2% (5)	9.8% (4)	**68.3% (28)**	3.85	41
Session: Effectiveness of Presentation	0.0% (0)	7.3% (3)	2.4% (1)	12.2% (5)	9.8% (4)	**68.3% (28)**	3.77	41
Speaker: Knowledge of subject matter	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.3% (3)	7.3% (3)	17.1% (7)	**68.3% (28)**	4.31	41
Speaker: Clarity of presentation	0.0% (0)	7.5% (3)	2.5% (1)	5.0% (2)	17.5% (7)	**67.5% (27)**	4.00	40
							Comments	5
							answered question	41
							skipped question	21

12. Session: SB 263 Speakers: Harry Freebourn and Ed Sheehy

	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Response Count
Session: Relevance of topic	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	5.6% (2)	2.8% (1)	5.6% (2)	**86.1% (31)**	4.00	36
Session: Substantive Content	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	5.6% (2)	5.6% (2)	2.8% (1)	**86.1% (31)**	3.80	36
Session: Effectiveness of Presentation	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	5.6% (2)	5.6% (2)	2.8% (1)	**86.1% (31)**	3.80	36
Speakers: Knowledge of subject matter	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	5.7% (2)	5.7% (2)	2.9% (1)	**85.7% (30)**	3.80	35
Speakers: Clarity of presentation	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	5.6% (2)	5.6% (2)	2.8% (1)	**86.1% (31)**	3.80	36
							Comments	4
							answered question	36
							skipped question	26

13. Session: Appellate Rules and Issues Speaker: Joslyn Hunt

	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Response Count
Session: Relevance of topic	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.9% (2)	22.0% (9)	19.5% (8)	**53.7% (22)**	4.32	41
Session: Substantive Content	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	12.2% (5)	19.5% (8)	14.6% (6)	**53.7% (22)**	4.05	41
Session: Effectiveness of Presentation	0.0% (0)	7.3% (3)	4.9% (2)	14.6% (6)	19.5% (8)	**53.7% (22)**	4.00	41
Speaker: Knowledge of subject matter	2.4% (1)	0.0% (0)	7.3% (3)	17.1% (7)	19.5% (8)	**53.7% (22)**	4.11	41
Speaker: Clarity of presentation	2.4% (1)	0.0% (0)	4.9% (2)	19.5% (8)	19.5% (8)	**53.7% (22)**	4.16	41
							Comments	7
							answered question	41
							skipped question	21

14. Session: Lucasville: Informants, Perjury and the Search for Truth Speakers: Eric Olson, Dan Donovan and John Connor

	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Response Count
Session: Relevance of topic	0.0% (0)	4.0% (2)	**28.0% (14)**	26.0% (13)	**28.0% (14)**	14.0% (7)	3.91	50
Session: Substantive Content	0.0% (0)	10.0% (5)	18.0% (9)	**36.0% (18)**	22.0% (11)	14.0% (7)	3.81	50
Session: Effectiveness of Presentation	2.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	18.0% (9)	**34.0% (17)**	32.0% (16)	14.0% (7)	4.09	50
Speakers: Knowledge of subject matter	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	14.0% (7)	26.0% (13)	**46.0% (23)**	14.0% (7)	4.37	50
Speakers: Clarity of presentation	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	16.0% (8)	**36.0% (18)**	34.0% (17)	14.0% (7)	4.21	50
							answered question	50
							skipped question	12

15. Please add any additional comments that you feel will help improve the quality of the training in the future.		
		Response Count
		17
	answered question	**17**
	skipped question	**45**